UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,462	08/10/2005	Christopher Robert Duncan	16933-3	2299
Clifford W Bro	7590 03/05/200 wning	EXAMINER		
111 Monument Circle Suite 3700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			WILSON, LEE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/510,462	DUNCAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LEE D. WILSON	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	, <del></del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	,				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-33 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-18 and 21-33 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

Application/Control Number: 10/510,462 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 14-18 and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeGuzman (5440778) in view of Griffin (4754518).
  - a. DeGuzman discloses a mopping trolley as disclosed except for two receptacles and being side by side.
  - b. DeGuzman discloses the claimed invention except for side by side.
  - c. The claim would have been obvious since Griffin discloses a mopping trolley having side by side receptacles (43&44) because the modification one carriage bottom having side by side receptacles for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention especially since the function has not been changed.
- 3. Claims 14-18 and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeGuzman (5440778) in view of Baek (3504392).
  - d. DeGuzman discloses a mopping trolley as disclosed except for two receptacles and being side by side.
  - e. DeGuzman discloses the claimed invention except for side by side.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,462 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

f. The claim would have been obvious since Baek discloses a mopping trolley having side by side receptacles (fig.1) because the modification one carriage bottom having side by side receptacles for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention especially since the function has not been changed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19-20 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
  - **g.** New art has been applied to the claims and newly allowed claims have been indicated.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571-272-4475.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,462 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ldw

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

March 1, 2009